242 ST. MARK. VI. 44—56.   
   
 and of the fishes. 4+ And they that did eat of the loaves   
 were [tadouf] five thousand men. “ And straightway he   
 constrained his disciples to get into the ship, and to go to   
 the other side before unto Bethsaida, while he sent away   
 the people. 44 And when he had sent them away, he   
 departed into "a mountain to pray. 47 And when even   
 was come, the ship was in the midst of the sea, and he   
 alone on the land. 48 And he saw them toiling in rowing ;   
 for the wind was contrary unto them: and about the   
 fourth watch of the night he cometh unto them, walking   
 upon the sea, and ¥\* would have passed by them. “ But   
 when they saw him walking upon the sea, they supposed   
 it had been Wa spirit, and cried out: for they all saw   
 acco Luke him, and were troubled. And immediately he talked with   
 axty, them, and saith unto them, Be of good cheer: it is I; be   
 not afraid. 5! And he went up unto them into the ship;   
 and the wind ceased: and they were sore amazed in them-   
 selves beyond measure[\*, and wondered]. 52 For ¥ \* éhey   
 considered not the miracle of the loaves : for their ° heart was   
 hardened.   
   
 bob. vill.   
 och. ti. xvi,   
 «   
   
 t omitted by all ancient   
 4 render, the. V i.e, was minded to pass by them.   
 W render, an apparition : literally, phantasm.   
 X omitted in several ancient   
 Y render, they understood not concerning the loaves.   
   
   
 fishes, and (ver. the taking up - ‘would (was minded) have passed by   
 ments from the fishes, revit i ls Peculiar to Mark. ‘A silent note Inspi-   
 and characteristic Mark: but it would ration. He was about to by them. He   
 have been most inconsistent his pre- intended soto do. But what man could say   
 cision to have “ besides and this ? Who knoweth the mind of Christ   
 seen in ver. 44, had he it before the Spirit of ? Compare 1 Cor. ii.   
 im. Wordew. But it may be perhaps doubted   
 45—62.] Jzsvus WALKS ON THE 8a. whether this is quite safe a sober   
 Matt. xiv. 22—88. John vi. 16—21. ment. would has here but a sub-   
 Omitted in Luke. Matthew and Mark are jective reference, is well expressed   
 very nearly related far as 47. John’s the English phrase in the text. See on   
 account is altogether and differing Luke xxiv. 28, the meaning. Lange well   
 materially in : see there, on remarks, that this have passed by   
 Matthew. 45.] the i.e. the and the “willingly received of John   
 in which they had come. Bethsaida— vi. 21, explain one another.   
 this certainly seems (against Lightfoot, 50.] all saw and were troubled: pecu-   
 Wieseler, Thomson, «The Land und the liarto Mark. After this the history   
 Book,” al. see Bishop note, Lec- respecting Peter, might naturally   
 tures on Life of our Pp. 207) to omitted here if this were drawn up   
 been the city of and Andrew, James under his inspection—but this is at   
 and John,—on the west side the lake— doubtful in any general senre. 68.   
 and in tho same direction as Peculiar to Mark. for they understo   
 mentioned by John, ver. The miracle not] They did not, from the which   
 ~ just related place the other Beth- they had seen, infer power of the Lord   
 saida (Julias),—Luke ix.10, 48. and over nature,